Choose a decision. Build the evidence. Follow through.

Current Cognitive Corp resources / 31 October 2026. Practical tools for an owner-led building decision.

Start with a decision that matters to a named owner: accepting a handover, choosing a maintenance action, assessing a capital option or deciding whether an AI-supported workflow is ready for a bounded trial. Use the toolkit to record the evidence, acceptance conditions, approval and next review.

Working resource	What it provides
Building Decision Toolkit v2.0	Five pages and 12 fillable fields, with decision, evidence, acceptance and follow-through records. Save a local copy before entering project information.
Building Decision Worked Example	Four-page fictional pre-release example showing hold/release reasoning. Its numbers demonstrate a method; they are not client results.

A USEFUL SEQUENCE

Step	Action	Record
1 / Frame	Name the decision, scope, people and existing process	Owner and decision statement
2 / Check	Trace sources, assumptions and unresolved conflicts	Evidence and issue register
3 / Decide	Test acceptance, authority and remaining uncertainty	Approve, hold, change or stop
4 / Follow through	Assign actions and review observed results	Due dates, closure evidence and review

General guidance and fictional examples do not establish operational benefit, standards compliance or authorization to connect to a building system. Involve the responsible project, operational, IT/OT and other specialist roles for the actual decision.

See Cognitive Corp's current engagement scope

Use the guide that fits the question.

Eight current guides, each reviewed for substantive content, worked logic, source scope and rendered layout.

Guide / PDF download	Purpose
Scope, delivery and follow-through	4 pages. Define the decision, deliverables, acceptance and continuing ownership.
AI value and acceptance	5 pages. Reproduce effort and cost calculations; distinguish capacity from cash savings.
AI environmental evidence	5 pages. Read energy, carbon, water and e-waste figures within their source boundaries.
Lifecycle information and handover	5 pages. Specify task-based records, resolve identity conflicts and demonstrate usability.
Governed building AI	5 pages. Trace claims, assign authority and test release conditions before expanding use.
Workplace decisions and inclusion	4 pages. Agree individualized options, a trial and a private review point.
Risk-informed capital planning	4 pages. Define probability periods, residual risk, costs and sensitivity.
AI-supported project planning	4 pages. Check dependencies, resource assumptions and prediction error against a baseline.

All eight editions are dated 31 October 2026. Examples are explicitly fictional. An assumption, estimate, vendor report, test observation and measured outcome are different forms of evidence; keep that distinction when reusing the material.

These guides consolidate older Cognitive discussion papers, service sheets and overlapping editions for current practice. They do not validate the older documents' numerical claims, case histories, credentials, prices or product descriptions. Use the source and version notes in each guide.

Read the archive in its original context.

Historical material can preserve useful thinking while its age, publisher and limits remain visible.

SELECTED DATED EXTERNAL REFERENCE

Microsoft / Will AI Fix Work? / 2023 Work Trend Index Annual Report

Published 9 May 2023. Vendor research and survey findings from that period. Retained with Microsoft's original attribution. It is not current market data or evidence of Cognitive client outcomes.

HOW TO USE A HISTORICAL DOCUMENT

Before relying on it	What to establish
Date and attribution	Use a printed publication date where one exists. A filename, upload date or roadmap year does not establish the publication date. Undated items remain undated archive material.
Claims and product context	Check present documentation and applicable conditions. A historical roadmap, service description, price or forecast is not a current offer or observed outcome.
Numbers and cases	Trace the underlying study or project record. Do not reuse an unsupported percentage, sample or benefit claim as verified evidence.
Rights and permitted use	Retain original authorship and publisher context. Hosting a copy does not establish a partnership, endorsement or republication permission.

Use the current toolkit and guides for new decisions. Historical material is background reading. Items with unresolved evidence or publication restrictions are not included among the current resources.

For a project decision, record the version actually used, the responsible reviewer and the next date or event that should trigger reconsideration. This library supports that working process; it is not a certification or guarantee.